

**STUDENT ORAL PAPER EVALUATION FORM**  
(Please do not give intermediate scores)

Student's Name \_\_\_\_\_ Day & Time \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

Section \_\_\_\_\_ Abstract Number \_\_\_\_\_

CRITERIA	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Poor/No Evidence
<i>Abstract:</i> Presents summary of pertinent details according to recommended editorial style.	5	4	3	2	1
<i>Introduction:</i> Clearly states objectives of the study and relationship to earlier work.	5	4	3	2	1
<i>Methodology:</i> Methods are appropriate and properly applied.	5	4	3	2	1
<i>Results:</i> Appropriate, clearly presented and appropriately summarized.	5	4	3	2	1
<i>Conclusion:</i> Based on given results, emphasizes significance and implications of study.	5	4	3	2	1
<i>Originality:</i> The student(s) came up with the ideas presented on their own, even if part of a larger project.	5	4	3	2	1
<i>Complexity:</i> The project results in an answer to a difficult question.	5	4	3	2	1
<i>Presentation:</i> Familiar with content, statements clear, voice modulations appropriate, maintains eye contact, audio-visual aids (if used) well-prepared and appropriate.	5	4	3	2	1
<i>Organization and timing:</i> Topical sequence logical, appropriate time given to sections of the presentation, keeps within allotted time.	5	4	3	2	1
<i>Understanding of subject:</i> Presenter has good grasp of study and related areas and responds effectively and clearly to questions.	5	4	3	2	1
COLUMN TOTALS					
TOTAL SCORE					

**Yes No** Is this paper worthy of an award? If yes, please provide written comments on the back of this sheet to help the Award Committee in selecting winners of Division-wide awards. Also, if able, include comments on the importance of the paper.

Judge's signature \_\_\_\_\_

Please rate your ability to evaluate this paper:      High      Medium      Low